

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 JAMES H. CUNNINGHAM,  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Petitioner,  
  
vs.  
MATTHEW CATE, Secretary,  
Respondent.

Civil No. 07cv2183-DMS (RBB)

**ORDER SUA SPONTE  
SUBSTITUTING RESPONDENTS**

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a First Amended Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has named John Marshall, the Warden of the institution where he was confined at the time of filing, as Respondent. Petitioner has now filed a notice of change of address indicating he has been transferred to another prison.

A writ of habeas corpus acts upon the custodian of the state prisoner. See 28 U.S.C. § 2242; Rule 2(a), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. Because Petitioner's place of confinement has changed, the Warden of his former prison is no longer a proper Respondent. In order to conform with the requirements of Rule 2(a) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases and to avoid changing the Respondent again if Petitioner is transferred to another prison or paroled, the Court hereby sua sponte **ORDERS** the substitution of Matthew Cate, the Secretary of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, as Respondent in place of "John Marshall." See

1 Ortiz-Sandoval v. Gomez, 81 F.3d 891, 894 (9th Cir. 1996) (stating that the respondent in § 2254  
2 proceedings may be the chief officer in charge of state penal institutions).

3 The Clerk of the Court shall modify the docket to reflect “Matthew Cate, Secretary” as  
4 Respondent in place of “John Marshall.”

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 DATED: July 15, 2008



Ruben B. Brooks  
United States Magistrate Judge

8  
9  
10  
11 CC: ALL PARTIES  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28